



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/003,773

11/15/2001

Gregory R. Lloyd

TSQ-001RCE3

4625

959 7590 08/26/2008

LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP
FLOOR 30, SUITE 3000
ONE POST OFFICE SQUARE
BOSTON, MA 02109

EXAMINER

ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2165

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/26/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/003,773	Applicant(s) LLOYD ET AL.	
	Examiner NEVEEN ABEL JALIL	Art Unit 2165	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 July 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 7, 8, 10-24, 27-31, 36 and 37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-8, 10-24, 27-31, and 36-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

1. In response to Applicant's Amendment filed on June 27, 2008, claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10-24, 27-31, 36 and 37 are pending in the application.
2. Applicant's amendments overcome the previously presented specification objection and claim rejections under 35 USC 112, second. However the amendment to the claim is not sufficient, it is suggest that the term "physical" be removed and instead for the recitation to be "computer readable storage medium".
3. Although applicant timely response and consideration of Examiner's suggestions the rejection is maintained and this action is made Final. The Examiner had introduced new art in the non-final rejection after RCE that is deemed to offer in combination with the existing prior rejections a full and comprehensive reading on the claimed invention. The Applicant had not addressed the newly presented combination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2165

5. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 10-24, 27-31, and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rivette et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,806,079) in view of Ryan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,421,675 B1), and further in view of Davis (U.S. Patent No. 6,920,608 B1).

As to claim 1, Rivette et al. discloses in an electronic device, a method, comprising the steps of:

parsing a plurality of entries containing data into or more parts, each entry associated with a metadata (See Rivette et al. Figure 3B, and see Rivette et al. column 7, lines 45-65);

assigning an entry ID to each of said entries (See Rivette et al. column 25, lines 19-65, wherein “entry ID” reads on “identifier”),

each said entry ID being a unique value (See Rivette et al. column 25, lines 19-65, wherein “entry ID” reads on “identifier”);

storing each entry indexed by the assigned entry ID (See Rivette et al. column 25, lines 19-65, wherein “entry ID” reads on “identifier”);

altering the data contained in one of a selected one of the plurality of indexed entries to create a new entry, said new entry having an entry ID assigned (See Rivette et al. Figure 3B, Rivette et al. Figure 7B, also see Rivette et al. column 29, lines 24-46);

cross-indexing said new entry with said selected entry (See Rivette et al. column 30, lines 42-65);

updating a meta structure associated with said selected entry to reflect relationship changes caused by said new entry, said updating including a time said selected entry was altered (See Rivette et al. column 30, lines 22-36); and

displaying said new entry in response to requests for said selected entry (See Rivette et al. column 29, lines 24-46).

Rivette et al. teaches the claimed invention but does not explicitly teach the metastructure maintaining a list of plurality of relationship changes between the selected entry and at least one other entry that show an evolution of said selected entry over a time period that includes a time period before said updating.

Ryan et al. teaches the metastructure maintaining a list of plurality of relationship changes between the selected entry and at least one other entry that show an evolution of said selected entry over a time period that includes a time period before said updating (See Ryan et al. column 29, lines 32-39, and see Ryan et al. column 29, lines 40-46, and see Ryan et al. column 17, lines 29-35, wherein table 9 is described as storing past updates -i.e. over time, and see Ryan et al. Table 9, shows original creation date/time).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Rivette et al. by the teaching of Ryan et al. to include the metastructure maintaining a list of plurality of relationship changes between the selected entry and at least one other entry that show an evolution of said selected entry over a time period that includes a time period before said updating because it provides for it provides for accuracy of information frequently (See Ryan et al. column 2, lines 49-55);

attaching a user-provided label to a user-defined part of said selected entry, said label being cross-indexed with said user-defined part, said selected entry and with a data structure referencing other entries containing said label (See Rivette et al. column 7, lines 41-52, wherein “label” reads on “note”).

Art Unit: 2165

The combined teachings of Rivette et al. with Ryan et al. teach the invention but still do not teach:

replacing said label for the user-selected part with a replacement label that is added to the metadata for the selected entry so that replacement label is cross-indexed with said user- selected part, cross-indexed with said selected entry and cross indexed with other entries containing segments with said replacement label;

recording in the metadata for the selected entry the time the original label is replaced; and displaying said replacement label with said selected entry in response to requests for earlier versions of said selected entry which originally lacked said replacement label.

Davis teaches replacing said label for the user-selected part with a replacement label that is added to the metadata for the selected entry so that replacement label is cross-indexed with said user- selected part, cross-indexed with said selected entry and cross indexed with other entries containing segments with said replacement label (See column 41, lines 61-65, and see column 50, lines 26-48);

recording in the metadata for the selected entry the time the original label is replaced (See column 19, lines 1-6, wherein it is inherent that “original label” time must coincide with the document creation time); and

displaying said replacement label with said selected entry in response to requests for earlier versions of said selected entry which originally lacked said replacement label (See column 18, lines 39-67, and see column 21, lines 60-63, and see column 22, lines 1-12, wherein it is inherent the latest version is the currently displayed version).

Art Unit: 2165

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have further modified Rivette et al. as modified by the teaching of Davis to include replacing labels as versions change and keeping track of all changes made to user defined labels since it provides for more efficient and better conforming process for document publishing.

As to claim 2, Rivette et al. as modified discloses comprising the further steps of:
assigning an item ID having a unique value to each of said parts (See Rivette et al. column 25, lines 19-65, wherein “entry ID” reads on “identifier”); and
updating the meta structure of said selected entry to include a reference to said item IDs assigned to each of said parts (See Rivette et al. column 30, lines 22-36).

As to claim 3, Rivette et al. as modified discloses comprising the further step of:
appending the parsed data from said selected entry to a journal, said journal being a data structure located in permanent memory (See Rivette et al. column 9, lines 3-16).

As to claim 4, Rivette et al. as modified discloses comprising the further step of:
attaching a label to at least one of said parts, wherein said label is added to the metadata for the selected entry so that the label is cross indexed with said part, said selected entry and with at least one other entry containing a part with said label (See Rivette et al. column 7, lines 41-52, wherein “label” reads on “note”).

As to claim 5, Rivette et al. as modified discloses comprising the further steps of:

Art Unit: 2165

searching said plurality of entries based on said label attached to said at least one of said segments (See Rivette et al. column 25, lines 1-9); and

displaying a results of said search on a web page, the results indicating entries from said plurality of entries that contain said label attached to said at least one of said segments (See Rivette et al. column 29, lines 24-46).

As to claim 7, Rivette et al. as modified discloses comprising the further step of:

displaying a web page containing only said user-selected part of said selected entry (See Rivette et al. column 36, lines 39-54).

As to claim 8, Rivette et al. as modified discloses searching said plurality of entries based on said label (See Rivette et al. column 21, lines 15-36, also see Rivette et al. column 27, lines 48-56); and

displaying a results of said search on a web page, wherein said web page indicates all of the entries from said plurality of entries that contain said label (See Rivette et al. column 21, lines 15-36, also see Rivette et al. column 27, lines 48-56).

As to claim 14, Rivette et al. a modified discloses further:

providing a permanent memory location (See Rivette et al. column 31, lines 4-34)

parsing the data contained within said selected entry (See Rivette et al. column 7, lines 45-65); and

storing the parsed data in a permanent memory location (See Rivette et al. column 31, lines 4-34).

As to claim 15, Rivette et al. as modified discloses comprising the further steps of:
storing a reference to at least **one of**, another entry, an update to said selected entry, and a labeling of said selected entry, in a meta structure stored in a data structure in said permanent memory location (See Rivette et al. column 31, lines 4-34).

As to claim 16, Rivette et al. as modified discloses wherein said meta structure includes a grammar object, said grammar object expressing a ternary relationship among said data (See Rivette et al. column 9, lines 9-16).

As to claim 17, Rivette et al. as modified discloses wherein the selected entry is an email message (See Rivette et al. column 12, lines 65-67).

As to claim 18, Rivette et al. as modified discloses wherein selected entry is an attachment to an email message (See Rivette et al. column 32, lines 10-32).

As to claim 19, Rivette et al. as modified discloses wherein the selected entry is a web page (See Rivette et al. column 32, lines 10-32).

Art Unit: 2165

As to claim 20, Rivette et al. as modified discloses wherein the selected entry is user-input text (See Rivette et al. column 11, lines 12-21, wherein “entry” reads on “object”).

As to claim 21, Rivette et al. as modified discloses wherein said electronic device is interfaced with a network (See Rivette et al. column 24, lines 37-44).

As to claim 22, Rivette et al. as modified discloses wherein the selected entry is audio data (See Rivette et al. column 11, lines 12-21, wherein “entry” reads on “object”).

As to claim 23, Rivette et al. as modified discloses wherein the selected entry is video data (See Rivette et al. column 11, lines 12-21, wherein “entry” reads on “object”).

As to claim 24, Rivette et al. as modified discloses wherein said selected entry is a complete document that is not segmented prior to the assignment of said entry ID (See Rivette et al. column 12, lines 65-67).

As to claim 27, Rivette et al. discloses a computer readable medium holding computer-executable instructions that upon executing cause a computer device to:

provide a plurality of entries containing data (See Rivette et al. column 16, lines 7-19);

assign an entry ID to each of said entries, said entry ID being a unique value (See Rivette et al. column 25, lines 19-65, wherein “entry ID” reads on “identifier”);

store each entry indexed by the assigned entry ID (See column 25, lines 19-65, wherein “entry ID” reads on “identifier”);

alter the data contained in one of a selected one of said plurality of entries to create a new entry, said new entry having an entry ID assigned, the new entry cross-indexed with said selected entry (See Rivette et al. column 29, lines 13-37, also see Rivette et al. column 30, lines 22-27);

update a meta structure associated with said selected entry to indicate a time said selected entry was altered (See Rivette et al. column 14, lines 35-50, also see Rivette et al. column 21, lines 29-36, wherein “label” reads on “notes”, also see Rivette et al. column 25, lines 42-65, wherein “meta” reads on “descriptor”); and

display said new entry in response to requests for said selected entry (See Rivette et al. column 21, lines 55-61).

Rivette et al. teaches the claimed invention but does not explicitly teach the metastructure maintaining a list of plurality of relationship changes between the selected entry and at least one other entry that show an evolution of said selected entry over a time period that includes a time period before said updating.

Ryan et al. teaches the metastructure maintaining a list of plurality of relationship changes between the selected entry and at least one other entry that show an evolution of said selected entry over a time period that includes a time period before said updating (See Ryan et al. column 29, lines 32-39, and see Ryan et al. column 29, lines 40-46, and see Ryan et al. column 17, lines 29-35, wherein table 9 is described as storing past updates -i.e. over time, and see Ryan et al. Table 9, shows original creation date/time).

Art Unit: 2165

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Rivette et al. by the teaching of Ryan et al. to include the metastructure maintaining a list of plurality of relationship changes between the selected entry and at least one other entry that show an evolution of said selected entry over a time period that includes a time period before said updating because it provides for it provides for accuracy of information frequently (See Ryan et al. column 2, lines 49-55).

The combined teachings of Rivette et al. with Ryan et al. teach the invention but still do not teach:

replacing said label with a replacement label that is being cross-indexed with said user-defined part, said selected entry and a data structure of other entries containing segments with said replacement label;

indicating in said data structure holding the original label the time the original label is replaced; and

displaying said replacement label with said selected entry in response to requests for earlier versions of said selected entry which originally lacked said replacement label.

Davis teaches replacing said label with a replacement label that is being cross-indexed with said user- defined part, said selected entry and a data structure of other entries containing segments with said replacement label (See column 41, lines 61-65, and see column 50, lines 26-48);

indicating in said data structure holding the original label the time the original label is replaced (See column 19, lines 1-6, wherein it is inherent that “original label” time must coincide with the document creation time); and

Art Unit: 2165

displaying said replacement label with said selected entry in response to requests for earlier versions of said selected entry which originally lacked said replacement label (See column 18, lines 39-67, and see column 21, lines 60-63, and see column 22, lines 1-12, wherein it is inherent the latest version is the currently displayed version).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have further modified Rivette et al. as modified by the teaching of Davis to include replacing labels as versions change and keeping track of all changes made to user defined labels since it provides for more efficient and better conforming process for document publishing.

As to claim 28, Rivette et al. as modified discloses wherein said method comprises the further steps of:

parse said selected entry into segments (See Rivette et al. column 25, lines 19-65, wherein “entry ID” reads on “identifier”);

assign an item ID having a unique value to each of said segments (See Rivette et al. column 25, lines 19-65, wherein “entry ID” reads on “identifier”); and

update the meta structure of said selected entry to include a reference to said item ID (See Rivette et al. column 25, lines 19-65, wherein “entry ID” reads on “identifier”).

As to claim 29, Rivette et al. as modified discloses wherein said method comprises the further step of:

attach a label to at least one of said segments (See Rivette et al. column 3, lines 30-31, wherein “label” reads on “note”), said label cross-indexed with said segment, said selected entry

Art Unit: 2165

and with a data structure listing other entries containing a segment with said label (See Rivette et al. column 21, lines 38-47).

As to claim 30, Rivette et al. as modified discloses further comprising:

select a time slice to apply to a selected entry, said time slice corresponding to a period of time (See Rivette et al. column 21, lines 29-36, wherein “label” reads on “notes”);

select a perspective to apply to said selected entry, said perspective being a date reference controlling which of the plurality of labels referencing said selected entry to display with said selected entry (See Rivette et al. column 30, lines 22-50); and

display said selected entry constrained by said time slice and said perspective (See Rivette et al. column 21, lines 29-36, wherein “label” reads on “notes”).

As to claim 31, Rivette et al. discloses as modified further comprising:

search said plurality of entries based on said label (See Rivette et al. column 25, lines 1-9); and

display the results of said search in a document referencing other entries from said plurality of entries that contain said label (See Rivette et al. column 29, lines 24-46), each of the entries indicating a time the label became affixed to the entry (See Rivette et al. column 21, lines 29-36, wherein “label” reads on “notes”).

As to claims 36-37, Rivette et al. as modified discloses wherein the selected entry is one of audio and video data (See Rivette et al. column 1, lines 39-42).

Response to Arguments

6. No arguments were made in Applicant's response.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neveen Abel-Jalil whose telephone number is 571-272-4074. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christian P. Chace can be reached on 571-272-4190. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2165

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Neveen Abel-Jalil
Primary Examiner
August 22, 2008
/Neveen Abel-Jalil/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2165